Morphological and Hemodynamic Parameters for Middle Cerebral Artery Bifurcation Aneurysm Rupture Risk Assessment
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Objective: To investigate the morphological and hemodynamic parameters associated with middle cerebral artery (MCA) bifurcation aneurysm rupture.

Methods: A retrospective study of 67 consecutive patients was carried out based on 3D digital subtraction angiography data. Morphological and hemodynamic parameters including aneurysm size parameters (dome width, height, and perpendicular height), longest dimension from the aneurysm neck to the dome tip, neck width, aneurysm area, aspect ratio, Longest dimension from the aneurysm neck to the dome tip (Dmax) to dome width, and height-width, Bottleneck factor, as well as wall shear stress (WSS), low WSS area (LSA), percentage of LSA (LSA%) and energy loss (EL) were estimated. Parameters between ruptured and un-ruptured groups were analyzed. Receiver operating characteristics were generated to check prediction performance of all significant variables.

Results: Sixty-seven patients with MCA bifurcation aneurysm were included (31 unruptured, 36 ruptured). Dmax (p=0.008) was greater in ruptured group than that in un-ruptured group. D/W (p<0.001) and the percentage of the low WSS area (0.09±0.13 vs. 0.01±0.03, p<0.001) were also greater in the ruptured group. Moreover, the EL in ruptured group was higher than that in un-ruptured group (6.39±5.04 vs. 1.53±0.86, p<0.001). Multivariate regression analysis suggested D/W and EL were significant predictors of rupture of MCA bifurcation aneurysms. Correlation analyses revealed the D/W value was positively associated with the EL (R=0.442, p<0.01).

Conclusion: D/W and EL might be the most two favorable factors to predict rupture risk of MCA bifurcation aneurysms.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of cerebral aneurysms is difficult to estimate. Un-ruptured intracranial aneurysms are mostly located in the middle cerebral artery (MCA), with 80–83% occupying at the bifurcation. According to the database by Dashti et al., the bifurcation aneurysms of MCA consists of the most ruptured aneurysms. Major rupture is the most frequent presentation of cerebral aneurysms, most commonly produces subarachnoid hemorrhage, a catastrophic event with high morbidity and mortality. Unlike ruptured aneurysms, there is controversy and uncertainty around the treatment of asymptomatic un-ruptured aneurysms among practitioners with similar specialized practice. For this reason, the evaluation of rupture risks is crucial for the management once an aneurysm is diagnosed.

Except the anatomical factors, morphological parameters were discussed based on digital subtraction angiography (DSA) as predictors of aneurysm rupture recently. Literatures studied mainly on variable such as size, neck width, aspect ratio (AR), height-width (H/W) ratio, bottleneck factor etc. Moreover, hemodynamics, such as wall shear stress (WSS), low WSS area (LSA), were also considered might contribute to aneurysm rupture. However, few studies had focused MCA bifurcation aneurysms in combining morphological and hemodynamic parameters.

This study analyzed the differences of morphological and hemodynamic parameters between ruptured and un-ruptured aneurysms located on MCA bifurcation. Our aim was to identify the characteristics that might predict rupture tendency of these aneurysms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 67 patients with MCA bifurcation aneurysm were included (31 un-ruptured, 36 ruptured) from multiple hospitals in Beijing during 2012 and 2015. Local ethical committee approved the study and consents from Patients were acquired. All patients accepted cerebral digital subtraction angiography (cDSA, Innova4100; GE Medical Systems SCS, Buc, France) after admitted to the hospital, and raw data were processed in GE Work Station AW 4.5/4.6 (GE Medical Systems, Chicago, IL, USA).

Morphological parameters were measured from 3D-DSA, including aneurysm size parameter, such as Dmax (longest dimension from the aneurysm neck to the dome tip), neck width (average diameter of the aneurysm neck, N), height (maximal height from dome tip perpendicular to aneurysm neck, H), dome width (maximal diameter perpendicular to Dmax, W), and aneurysm (AN) area. AR was calculated by dividing dome height (ARh) or Dmax (ARd) by neck width. D/W was defined as Dmax to dome width. And H/W ratio was also calculated by dividing height by dome width. Bottleneck factor was defined as the ratio of dome width to neck width.

For hemodynamic analysis, 3D models were generated with raw DICOM data and processed using MIMICS 14.11 (Materialise Group, Leuven, Belgium) and Geomagic studio 12.0 (Raindrop Geomagic Corporation, Rock Hill, SC, USA), remaining AN and parent artery, saved as standard tessellation language format for further processing. The workstation of Workbench software was run with two Intel® Xeon® processors of 3.1 GHz, a Windows operating system (version 7; JFC Group, Auckland, Australia) and a DR3 of 8 GB. The modified models were imported into ICEM CFD 14.5 (ANSYS, Canonsburg, PA, USA) and transformed into finite volume meshes of 1 million elements for computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations to calculate time-resolved 3D velocity and pressure fields. In order to simulate realistic condition of blood flow, 3 prism layers quadrilateral meshes with equal sides were installed next to the wall of vessels and aneurysms. Two pulsatile cycles were simulated with the mesh models using ANSYS CFX 5 (ANSYS), and the maximum stenosis time of the second cycle was documented. From the flow solutions, WSSs, LSA, percentage of LSA (LSA%) and energy loss (EL) were calculated.

WSS is tangential frictional stress caused by blood flow on the vessel wall. WSSmax and WSSmin is the maximum and minimum time-averaged aneurysmal WSS magnitude, respectively. In the statistical analysis, we averaged WSS (WS-Save) over the WSSmax and the WSSmin. LSA is defined as areas of the aneurysm wall exposed to WSS below 10% of the mean parent arterial WSS. Percentage of LSA is the ratio between LSA and AN area.

The EL of aneurysms in the simulation was described as the difference between the “with-aneurysm” and “pre-aneurysm” conditions (Formula 1). According to Bernoulli Equation, energy was calculated as formula 2. When there were multiple...
inlet and outlets, the EL was calculated by subtracting two outlet bifurcation arteries from the inlet M1 segment of MCA as formula 3. As a result, EL in this study was EL1 (EL from M1 segment of MCA) subtracting the sum of EL2 (EL from M2 segment of MCA) and EL3 (EL from M3 segment of MCA).

\[\Delta \text{EL} = \text{EL}_{\text{with-aneurysm}} - \text{EL}_{\text{pre-aneurysm}} \quad \text{Formula 1}\]

\[\text{EL} = VA (\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 + P) \quad \text{Formula 2}\]

\[\Delta \text{EL} = \sum \text{EL}_{\text{inlet}} - \sum \text{EL}_{\text{outlet}} \quad \text{Formula 3}\]

\(V\) presents the blood velocity of the test plane, \(A\) is the area of the test plane, \(\rho\) is the blood density, \(P\) stands for the static blood pressure of the test plane.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were presented with mean and standard deviation, and used Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for distribution characteristic. Student t-test was employed for normal distribution variables for the differences between ruptured and un-ruptured groups, and Mann-Whitney U test for non-normal distribution variables. All significant morphological and hemodynamic variables were added to the multivariate logistic regression using stepwise addition mode. To identify optimal thresholds for IA rupture, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis was applied to significant variables. Area under the ROC (AUC-ROC) was also calculated to check the performance of significant variables in prediction of aneurysm rupture. Pearson’s correlation was further analyzed within significant variables in the multivariate model. The level \(p<0.05\) was considered statistically significant. All the data were analysis with SPSS ver. 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**RESULTS**

Analysis had run for all variables according to data distribution and results were submitted in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Across all the variables, Dmax \((p=0.008)\), Aspect ratio according to Dmax \((\text{ARd}, p=0.012)\), \(H/W\) \((p<0.001)\), \(D/W\) \((p<0.001)\) were greater in ruptured group. However, ruptured group tended to have lower average wall shear stress \((\text{WSSave})\) than that in the un-ruptured \((21.26\pm19.60 \text{ vs. } 22.21\pm18.46, p=0.838)\), while definitely more \(\text{LSA} \left(\left[1.59\pm3.88\right]E-05 \text{ vs. } \left[1.41\pm3.26\right]E-06, p=0.031\right)\) and \(\text{LSA}\% \left(0.09\pm0.13 \text{ vs. } 0.01\pm0.03, p<0.001\right)\). Unruptured group had less EL compared to ruptured group \((1.53\pm0.86 \text{ vs. } 6.39\pm5.04, p<0.001)\). Inlet energy from M1 segment of MCA \((\text{EL1})\) was also higher in ruptured group \((22.96\pm16.13 \text{ vs. } 15.35\pm9.58, p<0.025)\).

In multivariate logistic regression, \(D/W\) was entered into the model on step 1 with \(p<0.001\) and odd ratio of 8.525, which demonstrated a significant positive effect on aneurysm rupture. The overall prediction accuracy on the first step in this model was 85.1%. EL was entered to the model on step 2, which raised the overall prediction accuracy to 91.0%. The odd ratio for \(D/W\) \((p=0.005)\) and EL \((p=0.005)\) were 6.760 and 1.497 respectively, indicating \(D/W\) had a greater positive impact in this model than EL. The other variables were no statistically significant in the multivariate logistic regression.

ROC was generated and summarized in Figs. 2 and 3. The EL had the greatest AUC \((0.931, p<0.001)\), followed by \(D/W\)

**Table 1. Variables in ruptured and un-ruptured groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>4.75±2.01</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>4.53±2.52</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmax</td>
<td>5.33±2.98</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>4.83±2.13</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ARh}=H/N)</td>
<td>0.98±0.49</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ARd}=D/N)</td>
<td>1.15±0.52</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H/W)</td>
<td>0.93±0.27</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{BNF}=W/N)</td>
<td>1.05±0.31</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D/W)</td>
<td>1.11±0.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{WSSave})</td>
<td>21.69±18.95</td>
<td>0.838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{WSSmax})</td>
<td>91.52±49.87</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{WSSmin})</td>
<td>0.86±2.22</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{LSA})</td>
<td>(9.22)E-06±2.92E-05</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{AN area})</td>
<td>(9.97±9.28)E-05</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{LSA}%)</td>
<td>0.056±0.104</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{EL1})</td>
<td>19.43±13.94</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{EL2})</td>
<td>9.00±7.33</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{EL3})</td>
<td>6.30±7.42</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>4.14±4.44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates \(p<0.05\). SD : standard deviation, Dmax : longest dimension from the aneurysm neck to the dome tip, \(\text{ARh}\) : aspect ratio calculated by dividing dome height, \(H/N\) : height-neck, \(\text{ARd}\) : aspect ratio calculated by Dmax, \(D/N\) : Dmax-neck, \(H/W\) : height-width, \(\text{BNF}\) : Bottleneck factor, \(W/N\) : width-neck, \(D/W\) : Dmax to dome width, \(\text{WSSave}\) : averaged wall shear stress, \(\text{WSSmax}\) : the maximum time-averaged aneurysmal wall shear stress magnitude, \(\text{WSSmin}\) : the minimum time-averaged aneurysmal wall shear stress magnitude, \(\text{LSA}\) : low wall shear stress area, \(\text{AN}\) : aneurysm, EL : energy loss.

(0.918, \( p < 0.001 \)) and LSA\% (0.901, \( p < 0.001 \)), illustrating an excellent performance in predicting aneurysm rupture. H/W (\( p < 0.001 \)) and LSA (\( p < 0.001 \)) were also good in this model with AUC of 0.887 and 0.849, respectively.

A possible optimal threshold for EL was 1.8450 in this analysis with a sensitivity of 0.917 and specificity of 0.774. The possible threshold for D/W was 1.015836 with a sensitivity of 0.889 and specificity of 0.774. As for LSA\% was 0.0089250, with the sensitivity of 0.889 and specificity of 0.742. Further Spearman’s correlation analysis demonstrated D/W and EL showed had significant correlation for 0.442 (\( p < 0.001 \)).

DISCUSSION

Currently, aneurysm size was believed to associate with aneurysm rupture\(^7,3^3\). A study of 1309 MCA aneurysms by Elsharkawy et al.\(^7\) found most of the ruptured MCA aneu-

**Fig. 1.** Definition of morphological variables. 1: neck (N), the average diameter of the aneurysm neck, 3.65 mm; 2: Dmax, the longest dimension from the aneurysm neck to the dome tip, 5.14 mm; 3: height (H), maximal height from dome tip perpendicular to aneurysm neck, 1.67 mm; 4: dome width (W), the maximal diameter perpendicular to Dmax, 2.48 mm. Dmax: longest dimension from the aneurysm neck to the dome tip.

**Fig. 2.** Morphological and hemodynamic modelling of un-ruptured (A and B) and ruptured (C and D) MCA bifurcation aneurysms. A1 and B1, examples of refined morphological modelling in un-ruptured group; C1 and D1, examples of refined morphological modelling in ruptured group, which are larger in size than that in un-ruptured group; A2 and B2, hemodynamic simulations for un-ruptured aneurysms, presenting high velocity with red, which affect EL according to formula 2; C2 and D2, hemodynamic simulations for ruptured aneurysms, demonstrating more complex blood flow inside the aneurysms and more energy difference between in-let and out-let arteries; A3 and B3, the distribution of WSS in un-ruptured aneurysms; C3 and D3, the distribution of WSS in ruptured aneurysms with significant more low WSS area than that in un-ruptured group; A4 and B4, the percentage of LSA in un-ruptured aneurysm; C4 and D4, illustrate the LSA\% are significantly higher in ruptured aneurysms. MCA: middle cerebral artery, EL: energy loss, WSS: wall shear stress, LSA: low WSS area.
Aneurysms were 7 to 14 in size (55%) and located at the main bifurcation (77%). In this study, we use different parameters to consider aneurysm size. Data in this study showed the Dmax, not aneurysm height (H) tended to be associated with the rupture status. Alternative aneurysm size definitions have a significant impact on prediction performance and optimal threshold values. Adoption of standard methodology and sizing nomenclature appears critical to ensure rupture detection performance and reproducibility across studies. Moreover, most of the studies were focusing on aneurysm height and neck width, or morphological variable in relation with height such as AR. The AR was believed as a reliable predictor in aneurysm rupture. And aneurysm size was not significant while AR was significant, which is consistent with other studies. Some study showed AR was higher in rupture groups, suggesting a threshold value of 1.6, while our result showed ARh had the tendency to be higher, but without a statistical difference in the rupture group. Except the commonly discussed ARh, ARd was also calculated in this study, and was higher in the ruptured group. Moreover, the AUC-ROC and significant difference in the D/W made the D/W the strongest indicator among all the variables in this study, indicating Dmax or Dmax related parameter might be more appropriate in predicting aneurysm rupture.

Low WSS was independently correlated with ruptured aneurysms, with the odds of rupture around 0.59 provided by logistic models by Xiang et al. The maximum WSS tended to be near the neck of the aneurysm, while low WSSs located at the tip of ruptured aneurysms. Low WSS could promote atherogenesis and inflammatory cell infiltration, and cause degenerative changes and trigger the rupture of aneurysms. One study pointed that a low WSS may be associated with aneurysm ruptures, but without statistical significance in MCA groups. This correlate well with our results. Based on the data, there was no difference in WSS, but the percentage of low WSS area (LSA%) between un-ruptured and ruptured groups, indicating LSA% to be a better predictor in aneurysm rupture. These results supported previous studies in that low WSS and percentage of LSA might lead to aneurysm rupture.

However, there is the controversy over low WSS or high WSS in predicting aneurysm rupture. In contrary to low WSS, high WSS might associate with the growth and rupture of small or secondary bleb aneurysm phenotypes in combination with a positive WSS gradient by triggering a mural-cell-mediated pathway. Although there is a potential mechanism of high WSS in aneurysm rupture, more reports suggested low WSS as a more significant predictor in aneurysm rupture.

EL was recently introduced as a hemodynamic parameter to investigate the mechanism of aneurysm rupture. It had the ability to quantitatively calculate this energy loss due to blood flow passing through a given aneurysm and was shown to be one of the major factors involved in aneurysm initiation growth and rupture and be able to predict the rupture risk. Several studies have showed higher EL indicating high risk of aneurysm rupture. Aneurysms with increasing EL are more likely to rupture than those with decreasing EL. However, the published studies were either with small study samples, or based only on experimental models. This study provided clinical evidence with 67 aneurysms located at MCA bifurcation that more energy loss in the aneurysm area had significant correlation with higher risk of aneurysm rupture. The EL in un-ruptured aneurysm group and ruptured group had a statistic significant with a mean value of 6.39±5.04 and 1.53±0.86, respectively. Both in logistic regression model and ROC, EL played a major role in predicting aneurysm rupture.

As the data showed, D/W was the most influential predictor, and also significant correlated with EL and LSA%. Moreover, it would be logical that the higher D/W presented in an aneurysm, the more energy will be consumed through the complicated unites. In return, the more energy had lost, it
could be more likely to have a lower WSS, which might explain higher LSA and LSA% in ruptured group. On the other hand, the high LSA% could favor the atherogenesis and inflammatory cell infiltration in the low WSS area as a pathological mechanism. As a result, the combination of morphological and hemodynamic parameters was appropriate in prediction of aneurysm rupture, especially with D/W, and EL.

There are some limitations in our study. Firstly, though it was a multi-center study for aneurysms located at MCA bifurcation, the number of samples was small. Secondly, we did not include demographic, genetic, pathologic, medial, shape changing factors in our study. We may extend the patient population and the parameters to validate our result in the future. Finally, our morphological and hemodynamic parameters were based on DSA in 3D region, which measurement bias could exist. Further automatic measurement should be employed to decrease this bias.

CONCLUSION

It was sensitive and optimal to use morphological and hemodynamic parameters in predicting the rupture of MCA bifurcation aneurysm. The D/W and EL might be considered to be the most two favorable factors in the prediction.
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