**In-Vitro** Study of Urokinase Thrombolysis Following Stereotactic Aspiration of Intracerebral Hematoma
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**Objective:** A consensus regarding the ideal regimen for urokinase (UK) thrombolysis subsequent to stereotactic spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage aspiration has yet to be established. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of UK thrombolysis relative to when the regimen is changed.

**Methods:** Venous blood from 30 healthy volunteers was obtained for this *in-vitro* study. Various concentrations of UK solution were added to microcentrifuge tubes containing the clotted blood. The efficacy of UK thrombolysis was identified by checking the weight of lysed hematoma following various time intervals with different concentrations of UK solution. Group one, the “3×4” group involved four administrations every 3 hours over 12 hours, and group two, the “6×2” group involved two administrations every 6 hours over 12 hours.

**Results:** More hematoma was lysed in the 3×4 group than the 6×2 group across all concentration levels (however, the differences were only significant between groups at the 500 and 1000 IU concentration levels, \( p<0.05 \)). There were no significant differences of lysed hematoma among the various UK solution concentrations within groups.

**Conclusion:** This study suggests that frequent administrations of UK thrombolysis may result in a greater degree of lysed hematoma in comparison to a higher concentration of UK.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage (SICH) is one of the most devastating types of stroke. It represents a significant cause of mortality and morbidity.18 Stereotactic aspiration and subsequent thrombolysis have been considered a less invasive and more effective treatment modality for spontaneous intracerebral hematoma, especially deep-seated hematoma.13,17 Various fibrinolytic agents have been administered for the lysis of remnant hematoma after SICH aspiration, including tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA), urokinase (UK) and streptokinase.11,17,29,

So far, t-PA has been the most widely used fibrinolytic agent for SICH thrombolysis.8,9,14,18,21 The first use of t-PA to lyse...
remnant SICH after stereotactic aspiration was in 1994 by the Gilsbach group. They demonstrated that a basal ganglia hemorrhage was successfully resolved with thrombolysis using t-PA. After that, several reports have demonstrated the effectiveness of t-PA thrombolysis. However, despite its efficacy at resolving hematoma, several adverse effects have been observed in many trials, including proedema, inflammatory, and neurotoxic effects.

Therefore, in recent years, UK, an older thrombolytic drug, has re-emerged as a potential fibrinolytic treatment. Furthermore, a large number of studies have reported that UK thrombolysis following SICH increases clot resolution rate and improves clinical outcome.

When it comes to thrombolysis using UK, numerous clinical studies have reported on the efficacy of UK thrombolysis following SICH aspiration. To our knowledge, however, a consensus regarding the ideal regimen for UK thrombolysis has yet to be established. Although various regimens of thrombolysis have been administered at various institutions, there is no evidence to the best of our knowledge regarding the efficacy of UK thrombolysis relative to when the regimen is changed. Accordingly, we attempted to evaluate what the ideal regimen of UK thrombolysis is by doing an in-vitro thrombolysis study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

The Ethics Committees of Kyungpook National University involved approved the study. Thirty healthy human volunteers without any history of medication and underlying disease participated in this study. The purpose of our study was two-fold: first, to investigate the effect of UK concentration on thrombolytic activity and, second, to evaluate the frequency of UK application on thrombolytic activity.

The method found in Prasad et al. was followed, wherein clot lytic efficacy of thromboembolic drugs was evaluated by measuring the weight of clot lysed following each subsequent application. In that study, whole blood from healthy volunteers was allowed to form clots in previously-weighed microcentrifuge tubes. After an incubation period, supernatant serum was removed and clot mass was weighed by subtracting the weight of empty microcentrifuge tubes. After lysis by thrombolytic drugs, such as UK, lysed fluid was removed and remnant of the clot was weighed again. The amount of lysed clot was calculated as a function of the weight difference, before and after clot lysis, of the microcentrifuge tube. We found that this was a simple and cost effective model for measurement of clot lysis activity of thrombolytic agents, so we decided to perform our experiment using this method.

**Clot formation and UK solution**

Venous blood was drawn from healthy human volunteers (n=30) without any history of medication or underlying disease. Sampled venous blood was transferred into previously-weighed sterile microcentrifuge tubes (1 mL of blood per tube). Then, the samples were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for clot formation. Following incubation, supernatant serum was removed and each tube was weighed again to measure clot weight (Fig. 1). The clot weight was determined by subtracting the weight of empty tube from the weight of tube having the clotted blood. Various concentrations of UK were applied in the samples for each group: 0, 500, 1000, 2500, and 5000 IU. Each microcentrifuge tube was properly labeled and a UK solution with normal saline was added according to these concentrations. The volume of each solution was all the same at 0.5 mL.

In terms of reaction duration, samples were divided into two groups. In the first group (group A), the duration of each reaction was 3 hours. After the 3-hour reaction time, we removed lysed supernatant of hematoma and weighed the remnant. After that, a 0.5 mL UK solution of each concentration was added again (Fig. 1). We repeated this process three more times. The total time of experiment was 12 hours, and the total number of measurements was four. At the last step of the experiment, total amount of lysed hematoma was recorded in Table 1. In the second group (group B), the duration of each reaction was 6 hours, and we measured the weight of remnant clot every 6 hours. The total number of measurements for the second group was two. The total amount of lysed hematoma for group two was also recorded in Table 1.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the programs SPSS Statistics 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Mann-Whitney U test and t-test were used to analyze differences between two groups as appropriate. The Kruskal-Wallis test and analysis of variance
were used to analyze differences due to UK concentration changes. Significance was assumed when the $p$-value was less than 0.05.

**RESULTS**

In both groups, irrigation with saline alone showed less hematoma lysis than UK mixed solution. The average amount of liquefied hematoma in group A was greater than the average amount of liquefied hematoma in group B. The total weight of lyzed hematoma for each concentration level and each group is shown in Table 1. At all UK concentrations except saline irrigation, more hematoma was lysed in group A than in group B. With regard to concentration level, the 500 and 1000 IU concentrations revealed statistical significance between the two groups (500 IU : 3 hours, 0.562 g; 6 hours, 0.426 g; $p<0.006$; 1000 IU : 3 hours, 0.564 g; 6 hours, 0.446 g; $p<0.29$) (Table 1). However, there was no statistical difference between the two groups in the 2500 and 5000 IU concentration. We believe that the lack of statistical significance may be due to the small sample size. It provides evidence that frequent UK
thrombolysis administration is more effective. However, comparisons in each group based on UK concentration differences did not reach statistical significance (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Our laboratory findings suggest that the administration frequency of UK may be a more important factor than UK concentration for efficient thrombolysis, although further studies are needed to confirm these results. Our first result was that the amount of lysed hematoma was more in group A (four administrations every 3 hours over 12 hours) than group B (two administrations every 6 hours over 12 hours) (p values only significant for the 500 and 1000 IU concentrations between groups). Our second result was that differences of liquefied hematoma for UK concentrations within the groups we compared were not statistically significant relative to difference in concentration. This suggests that UK concentration level might be a less important factor in UK thrombolysis efficiency than we expected, although further studies are necessary to validate these results.

Regarding our first result, we found that multiple and frequent administrations of UK thrombolysis may lead to a greater degree of lysed hematoma. Many studies have reported that UK thrombolysis is effective for lysing hematoma. For example, in a study by Chang and Hwang, it was reported that fibrinolytic therapy using UK following frameless stereotactic aspiration for SICH is associated with a low rebleeding and mortality rate. Likewise, Tan et al. has shown that UK fibrinolytic therapy better resolved brain edema and led to better outcomes in treatment for SICH. In general, a review of several previous studied reveals that the frequency of UK thrombolysis is distributed over various ranges. In most studies, the time interval of UK thrombolysis varies from 3 to 12 hours. However, no study to date has investigated differences in efficacy regarding the frequency of UK administrations. Our study suggests that increasing the frequency of UK fibrinolytic therapy administrations leads to a higher degree of lysed hematoma, although further studies are necessary to confirm these preliminary results.

Regarding our second result, the optimal dose for UK lysis of SICH remains unknown. Different researchers have used various regimens, mainly according to non-uniform institutional standards. According to most reports, UK concentrations were distributed in a range from 3000 to 10000 IU. In most cases, the concentration of UK was 5000 IU. Because UK thrombolysis can cause complications such as re-bleeding, we assumed that if the lysis effect of UK is the same across different concentration levels, a lower concentration is more safe. So, we performed an experiment to elucidate whether UK concentrations of lower than 5000 IU have differently lytic effects. Accordingly, we decided to compare the amount of thrombolysis each concentrations of UK in the group A. Another comparison was done in the group B. In both analyses, statistical significance was not reached. This suggests UK concentration level may not be a large factor in fibrinolytic therapy efficacy, although further studies are necessary to confirm these preliminary results. However, it is noteworthy that we can consider the possibility that a sufficient effect may be obtained even at a low concentration of UK.

**Table 2. Differences of liquefied hematoma for UK concentrations (at 12 hours)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose interval</th>
<th>UK concentration (IU)</th>
<th>Total amount of lysed hematoma (g)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A (3 hours)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.562±0.198</td>
<td>0.937*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.564±0.207</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.542±0.213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.532±0.220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B (6 hours)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.426±0.189</td>
<td>0.933†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.446±0.199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.459±0.194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>0.449±0.203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. *Kruskal-Wallis test. †ANOVA. UK: urokinase
There are some limitations associated with this study. The first limitation is that this is in vitro study. Because the result of the study is confined to the in-vitro circumstances, it is unlikely to know whether changing these factors would have the same effect in clinical situations. The second limitation is that the area where hematoma and UK come in contact could influence the result of the experiment. The results of the experiment may vary depending on the UK surface area of the blood clot. The third limitation is that our study only checked the amount of lysed hematoma depending on a few variations in UK concentration and frequency of thrombolysis. For example, the effect of more than 4 UK administrations within 12 hours was not included in this study. Accordingly, further studies would be needed to support our study and to find more information on what the ideal regimen and frequency of thrombolysis should be in practice.

CONCLUSION

This study suggests that at the same concentration, frequent administrations of UK thrombolysis may result in a greater degree of lysed hematoma. Further, our result that the relationship between UK concentration and the amount of lysed hematoma was not statistically significant might suggest that we can try lower the UK concentration in clinical cases. However, it is important to stress that further studies are necessary to confirm these preliminary results.
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