Laparotomy versus Laparoscopic Placement of Distal Catheter in Ventriculoperitoneal Shunt Procedure
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Objective: Traditionally, peritoneal catheter is inserted with midline laparotomy incision in ventriculoperitoneal (V-P) shunt procedures. Complications of V-P shunt is not uncommon and have been reported to occur in 5-37% of cases. The aim of this study is to compare the clinical outcomes and the operation time between laparotomy and laparoscopic groups.

Methods: A total of 155 V-P shunt procedures were performed to treat hydrocephalic patients of various origins in our institute between June 2006 to January 2010; 95 of which were laparoscopically guided and 65 were not. We reviewed the operation time, surgery-related complications, and intraoperative and postoperative problems.

Results: In the laparoscopy group, the mean duration of the procedure (52 minutes) was significantly shorter ($p < 0.001$) than the laparotomy group (109 minutes). There were two cases of malfunctions and one incidence of diaphragm injury in the laparotomy group. In contrast, there were neither malfunction nor any internal organ injuries in the laparoscopy group ($p = 0.034$). There were total of two cases of infections from both groups ($p = 0.7$).

Conclusion: Laparoscopically guided insertions of distal shunt catheter is considered a fast and safe method in contrast to the laparotomy technique. This method allows the exact localization of the peritoneal catheter and a confirmation of its patency.
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INTRODUCTION

A number of techniques have been described for the treatment of hydrocephalus. Ventriculoperitoneal (V-P) shunt is the preferred procedure for treating hydrocephalus of various etiologies in children and adults.

Laparoscopy-assisted distal shunt catheter placement was first described in 1993. Traditionally, the peritoneal portion involves a small midline incision.

Complications from the traditional V-P shunt placement are not uncommon and have been reported to occur in 5-37% of the cases.

Laparoscopy-assisted techniques have greatly reduced these potential morbidities through direct visualization of the peritoneal cavity, as well as the risk of incisional hernia after laparotomy. This study focuses on the following results of laparoscopically guided implantation of a distal catheter compared to the laparotomy group: the operation time, intra-operative and extra-operative problems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient population

A total of 155 V-P shunt procedures were performed for the treatment of hydrocephalus of various origins between June 2006 to January 2010, 95 consecutive patients of which were laparoscopically guided, whereas 65 patients were not (Table 1). The operations for each group were performed by two neurosurgeons at a single institute. The data of the patients were retrospectively collected from their medical records and follow-up notes in order to assess the operation time, intra-operative and post-operative problems.

Technical aspects

Laparotomy shunt procedures were performed by a neurosurgical resident and a senior neurosurgeon. Laparoscopy-
assisted shunt procedures were performed by a neurosurgical resident and a senior neurosurgeon in collaboration with a resident from department of general surgery, experienced in laparoscopic procedures from the initial 30 cases and the operations on the last 65 cases were performed by two neurosurgeons. Patients were anesthetized and placed in the supine position for the V-P shunt procedures. The laparoscopic part of the operation was performed simultaneously with the procedure of the cranial component.

Procedure description

A curve-linear paraumbilical 10 mm incision was made. The peritoneum was punctured directly with a veress needle. Through the needle, pneumoperitoneum was created up to 10 mmHg with carbon dioxide gas. After removing the veress needle, a five millimeter trocar was inserted and a 30 degree five millimeter laparoscope was introduced into the peritoneal cavity (Fig. 1, 2A). The intra-peritoneal cavity was inspected and a site along the subcostal region was chosen for intra-abdominal catheter insertion. A five millimeter vertical skin incision was made and under the direct vision the peritoneum was punctured with vertebroplasty needle (Fig. 1C, 2B, C). Peritoneal catheter was inserted via the vertebroplasty needle and guided toward the left lower abdominal portion under videoscopic inspection (Fig. 2D, E). After catheter was introduced through the needle into the peritoneal cavity about 20 cm, vertebroplasty needle was carefully removed (Fig. 2F). After drawing out the needle, the peritoneum was deflated.

After traversing a malleable tunneler (65 cm long) from the subcostal incision through the subcutaneous tissue to the retroauricular area, the cranial part of catheter was placed at retroauricular area. The pressure of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was examined after the deflating the peritoneum in order to avoid the deleterious effects of increased abdominal pressure on the intracranial pressure.

After connecting the valve with peritoneal and ventricular catheter, pneumoperitoneum was recreated. The flow of CSF was confirmed under the laparoscopic observation (Fig. 3). Following the desufflation, the trochar was removed. Neither incision required fascial closure while two small incisions were closed absorbable intracutaneous stitches.

RESULTS

In the laparoscopy group (52 minutes), the mean proce-
dure duration, defined as the time from initial incision to final dressing placement, was significantly shorter ($p < 0.001$, Student’s t-test) than the laparotomy group (109 minutes) (Table 2).

There were two cases of malfunctions and one incidence of diaphragm injury in the laparotomy group. In contrast, there were neither malfunction nor internal organ injuries in the laparoscopy group. Lower incidence of malfunction and internal organ injuries were shown in the laparoscopy group (0%) compared to the laparotomy group (3.08%) ($p = 0.034$, Student’s t-test).

There were total of two cases of infections from both groups, which showed no statistically significant differences ($p = 0.7$, Student’s t-test).

**DISCUSSION**

Traditionally, laparotomy procedures were performed despite its inherent disadvantages of postoperative pain, wound infection and hernia formations\(^9\). The ideal implantation method involves minimal trauma such as negative postoperative ileus or late intraabdominal adhesions and easy access in catheter insertions even in cases of postoperative peritoneal adhesions. The laparoscopic method fulfills all these criteria. The abdominal wall is minimally incised and its closure is usually possible with a single stitch, thus minimizing the postoperative pain. Furthermore, the cosmetic satisfaction is excellent without any risk of incisional hernias.

One third of all shunt failures are thought to be due to malfunction of the distal catheter which may be caused by dislocation or obstruction or migration into the anterior abdominal wall\(^10,20\). The distal failure rate may be higher in patients with abdominal adhesions, obesity, or scoliosis\(^17\).

A strong advantage of laparoscopically guided catheter insertion lies in its ability to insert the catheter correctly without failure\(^22\). Furthermore, it is possible to check the flow of CSF into the peritoneal cavity by giving vertical pressures on the reservoir\(^27\). Upon finishing all the procedures, the passing of the CSF has failed in one patient in which a malposition of the ventricular catheter was identified and its location was corrected. Hence, a reoperation was not necessary. The ability to visualize the entire peritoneal cavity also allows the retrieval of foreign bodies, lysis of adhesions and culturing of abdominal fluid. Also, the lysis of adhesions may decrease the need for pleural or atrial shunts\(^10,13,25,28,29\).

In all patients, the insertion of the catheter within the abdominal cavity was performed with only one veress needle and one laparoscope with five millimeter trocar. However, one patient had an additional five millimeter port and dissecting forceps to tract the tube in order to correct the insertion difficulty. This problem was corrected by inserting the veress needle in the abdominal wall with a widened insertion margin. Seven patients with previous abdominal operations and four severely obese patients showed no difficulty in distal catheter insertions (Table 3).

Ochalski et al.\(^23\) have introduced a modified percutaneous insertion technique which is a variation of a similar technique initially described by Lockhart et al.\(^23\). They have used a percutaneous (Veress needle and Peel-Apart introducer) minimal-access technique as the first-line approach for the distal intraperitoneal catheter insertions. Retrospective analy-
sis of 100 cases of distal catheter minimal-access insertion showed 91 patients had neither distal catheter misplacements nor any internal organ injuries from the insertion of Veress needle or Peel-Apart Percutaneous Introduct. However, procedural changes were made in the remaining 9 cases, where an open mini-laparotomy or laparoscopically assisted insertion were carried out. Also, the total rate of shunt system revision secondary to malfunction was 17%.

Lockhart et al. have used the Veress needle to establish peritoneal access which was then replaced with a peel-away sheath using a guide-wire exchange technique. Distal catheter insertion was performed in a similar fashion, however, gas insufflation was not used to establish pneumoperitoneum. At the beginning of the study, we used peel-off needles that were introduced in other reports. However, there were cases of catheter breakage provoked by the split edges on the needle. Instead, vertebroplasty needles were used and such problems were resolved with much success. It is postulated that the reason for the shortening of the procedure duration is due to shortened catheter insertion time and the assurance that the reason for the shortening of the procedure duration has been achieved. Other studies report a relatively higher infection rates in concurrent operations with the general surgeons.

The initial 30 cases were performed with some help from the general surgeons to compensate our lack of experience. However, recently, only two neurosurgeons are required for laparoscopic placement of distal ventriculoperitoneal shunts. The mean age of their patients was 66 and 80% had normal-pressure hydrocephalus. They reported the one year shunt survival rate to be 91%. It is important to note that no catheter migration or disconnection or any fractures were reported.

The initial 30 cases were performed with some help from the general surgeons to compensate our lack of experience. However, recently, only two neurosurgeons are required for laparoscopic placement of distal ventriculoperitoneal shunts. The mean age of their patients was 66 and 80% had normal-pressure hydrocephalus. They reported the one year shunt survival rate to be 91%. It is important to note that no catheter migration or disconnection or any fractures were reported.

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopically guided insertions of distal shunt catheter is considered as fast and safe method with more advantages than the laparotomy technique. This method allows the exact localization of the peritoneal catheter and confirmation of its patency. Laparoscopically guided surgeries are neglected in the neurosurgical field due to its unfamiliarity. However, it is an uncomplicated method which does not require help from the general surgeons.
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